

Appendix 3: Definition of Assurance Opinions

Key for the Overall Conclusion:

Below are the definitions for the overall conclusion on the system of internal control being maintained.

	Definition	Rating Reason
Substantial	There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve objectives and minimise risk.	<p>The controls tested are being consistently applied and risks are being effectively managed.</p> <p>Actions are of an advisory nature in context of the systems, operating controls and management of risks. Some medium priority matters may also be present.</p>
Reasonable	There is a good system of internal control in place which should ensure objectives are generally achieved, but some issues have been raised which may result in a degree of risk exposure beyond that which is considered acceptable.	<p>Generally good systems of internal control are found to be in place but there are some areas where controls are not effectively applied and/or not sufficiently developed.</p> <p>Majority of actions are of medium priority but some high priority actions may be present.</p>
Partial	The system of internal control designed to achieve objectives is inadequate. There are an unacceptable number of weaknesses which have been identified and the level of non-compliance and / or weaknesses in the system of internal control puts the system objectives at risk.	<p>There is an inadequate level of internal control in place and/or controls are not being operated effectively and consistently.</p> <p>Actions may include high and medium priority matters to be addressed.</p>
Limited	Fundamental weaknesses have been identified in the system of internal control resulting in the control environment being unacceptably weak and this exposes the system objectives to an unacceptable level of risk.	<p>The internal control is generally weak/does not exist. Significant non-compliance with basic controls which leaves the system open to error and/or abuse.</p> <p>Actions will include high priority matters to be actioned. Some medium priority matters may also be present.</p>

Management actions have been agreed to address control weakness identified during the exit meeting and agreement of the draft Internal Audit report. All high and medium management actions will be entered on the Council's Performance Management Software and progress in implementing these actions will be tracked and reported to the Regulatory & Audit Committee.

We categorise our management actions according to their level of priority:

Action Priority	Definition
High (H)	Action is considered essential to ensure that the organisation is not exposed to an unacceptable level of risk.
Medium (M)	Action is considered necessary to avoid exposing the organisation to significant risk.
Low (L)	Action is advised to enhance the system of control and avoid any minor risk exposure to the organisation.